

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

10 BRENDAN PESIKAN, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 MIRANDA and JOHN DOE SPARKS, et  
14 al.,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. C08-5023BHS

ORDER GRANTING  
STIPULATED MOTION FOR  
ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL  
AND RELATED DATES

16  
17 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' Stipulated Motion for Order  
18 Continuing Trial and Related Dates (Dkt. 14). The Court has considered the stipulated  
19 motion and the remainder of the file and hereby denies the motion for the reasons stated  
20 herein.

21 On January 11, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a complaint against Defendants. Dkt. 1. On  
22 May 13, 2008, the Court issued an order setting the trial date and pretrial deadlines. Dkt.  
23 13. On November 7, 2008, the parties filed a Stipulated Motion for Order Continuing  
24 Trial and Related Dates. Dkt. 14.

25 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6, the Court may grant an extension of time  
26 for good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). The parties request an extension of approximately  
27 four to six month extension of the current deadlines. See Dkt. 14. The parties claim that  
28

1 “insurmountable scheduling difficulties” have prevented them from being able to  
2 complete discovery by the current deadline, December 1, 2008. *Id.* at 1. The parties have  
3 shown good cause for the requested extensions.

4 Therefore, it is hereby

5 **ORDERED** that Stipulated Motion for Order Continuing Trial and Related Dates  
6 (Dkt. 14) is **GRANTED**. The current scheduling order (Dkt. 13) shall be **STRICKEN**  
7 and a new scheduling order shall issue forthwith.

8 DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2008.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



---

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE

United States District Judge